

## IN THE RACING APPEALS TRIBUNAL

ANTHONY GARY TURNER

Appellant

v

GREYHOUND WELFARE AND INTEGRITY COMMISSION

Respondent

### ADDENDUM TO DETERMINATION OF 31 JANUARY 2025

1. The Tribunal's determination in this matter was published on 31 January 2025.
2. By an email of 14 February 2025, the Appellant has taken issue with a number of matters which appear in that determination and seeks that the Tribunal's reasons be amended to reflect the matters he has raised.
3. What follows assumes a familiarity with the Tribunal's reasons.
4. The first matter raised by the Appellant concerns the terms of an extract from the transcript of the hearing which appears at [16] and following of the Tribunal's reasons where the following was stated:

*[16] Last attended the hearing of the appeal and was questioned by the Appellant.<sup>1</sup> Nothing he said in answer to those questions affected the account he had given to the Stewards. However, in the course of putting questions to Last, the Appellant said the following:<sup>2</sup>*

***And the coward punch you just mentioned, that's what I did. To be honest, this is just a thing what I'm saying, a coward punch, yeah, it's not real nice. It should be, you know, outlawed and it is.***

*That was, again, a clear and unequivocal admission by the Appellant that he committed the offence.*

---

<sup>1</sup> Transcript 9 – 14.

<sup>2</sup> Transcript 13.45 and following.

5. In his email of 14 February, the Appellant has asserted that the latter part of the bolded passage should read “***if that’s what I did***”. I have checked the transcript of the hearing and the extract is correctly quoted in the Tribunal’s reasons. That extract also accords with my contemporaneous note of what was said. There is no substance in this complaint.
6. The second matter raised by the Appellant is an assertion that I suggested that he punched Mr Last in the ribs and in the face. That is entirely incorrect. The evidence that the Appellant punched Mr Last in the ribs and in the face came in the form of an admission which was made by the Appellant when interviewed, an extract of which appears at paragraph [13] of the Tribunal’s reasons. There is no substance in this complaint.
7. Thirdly, the Appellant asserts that he was “cut off” in the hearing by me. The transcript does not substantiate that complaint. On the contrary, a reading of the transcript makes it clear that the Appellant was given every opportunity to put his case, and was not “cut off” at any time.
8. It follows that there is no basis for the Tribunal’s reasons to be amended and the Tribunal will not enter into any further correspondence about the matter.

**THE HONOURABLE G J BELLEW SC**

**21 February 2025**